140 ner Yu	HAWTIN, BRIAN Art Unit 1617	
Yu	1617	
		<u> </u>
MAINS) CLOSED in this app appropriate communication This application is subject to	olication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
<u>2005</u> .		
umbered to claims 3-8, 1, 2	<u>2</u> .	
ceived. ceived in Application No		tion from the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
		OTICE OF
ent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ment / Comment or in the O ould be written on the drawin according to 37 CFR 1.121(c OLOGICAL MATERIAL n	office action of legs in the front (not the l).	,
6. ☑ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat7. ☑ Examiner's Amenda	(PTO-413), e <u>10/2005</u> . nent/Comment	ŕ
	MAINS) CLOSED in this appropriate communication This application is subject to EP 1308. 2005. Dumbered to claims 3-8, 1, 2 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Decived. Decived in Application No. That application to file a reply this application. The the attached EXAMINER's has application and the drawing recording to 37 CFR 1.121(a). The the attached EXAMINER's has application. The the attached EXAMINER's has application and the drawing recording to 37 CFR 1.121(a). The the attached EXAMINER's has application and the drawing recording to 37 CFR 1.121(a). The this application is subject to the properties of the drawing recording to 37 CFR 1.121(a). The this application is subject to the properties of the prope	aumbered to claims 3-8, 1, 2. J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). ceived. ceived in Application No have been received in this national stage application. The the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or No. (s) why the oath or declaration is deficient. The the Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached The the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or No. (s) why the oath or declaration of the drawings in the front (not the recording to 37 CFR 1.121(d). COLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. No. (a) Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date 10/2005 T. ☑ Examiner's Amendment/Comment 8. ☑ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allogen.

Application/Control Number: 09/701,140

Art Unit: 1617

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mark Burns on September 30, 2005.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 32, after "skin condition", insert "selected from a group consisting of atopic dermatitis and eczema".

Cancel claim 17.

REASONS' FOR ALLOWANCE

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims are allowed in view of i) the documents submitted under 37 C.F.R. 1.132, submitted on September 29, 2003, and September 24, 2005; and ii) the claim amendment to limit the scope of the specific skin conditions that are the subject matter of the clinical trials the said documents.

The claimed invention is directed to a method for treating atopic dermatitis and eczema by topically applying a composition comprising an aqueous phase and an oil phase, about 1-5 % w/v of an amphoteric surfactant, about 0.4-4 % w/v of an alkoxylated cetyl alcohol, and about 1/10 % w/v of a polar drug selected from the group consisting of sodium cromoglycate and nedocromil sodium.

Application/Control Number: 09/701,140

Art Unit: 1617

Totten et al. (GB 2202145 A), was cited to show that it is well known in pharmaceutical art to treat atopic dermatitis by topically applying an oil-in-water composition comprising nedocromil sodium and surfactants such as glyceryl monostearate, cetostearyl alcohol. The reference also generally teaches using cetomacrogol ethers (ethoxylated cetyl alcohol), but fails to teach the amount or the concurrent use of the surfactant with an amphoteric surfactant as required by the present claims. Although Jacobs et al. (US 5939085) teach that amphoteric surfactants are used up to 20 % by weight in an oil-in-water emulsion to provide stability to the composition and skin smoothing property, the combined teachings of the reference do not render obvious the claimed method of using amphoteric surfactants in combination with ethoxylated cetyl alcohol in a specific amount to enhance the effectiveness of nedocromil sodium and sodium cromoglycate to treat atopic dermatitis and eczema as demonstrated by applicants.

The Rule 132 declaration filed on September 29, 2003 cites Van Bever et al., Eur. J. Pediatr. (1989) 149: 74 to show that a 4 % nedocromil sodium cream is found not effective in treating atopic dermatitis and eczema as compared to procebo. The Van Bever et al. article also states that nedocromil sodium "showed activity similar to that of sodium cromoglycate but is markedly more potent." The declarant indicates that the composition used in the Van Bever et al. article is made according to the Totten patent.

The data submitted on September 29, 2003, and the declaration filed on September 24, 2004 show that Altoderm formulation comprising 4 % w/w/ sodium

Application/Control Number: 09/701,140

Art Unit: 1617

cromoglycate in an emulsion shows improved treatment of atopic dermatitis and eczema over placebo. The declarant states that Altoderm contains disodium cocoamphodiacetate and ethoxylated cetyl alcohol, which are used in the present invention. The results of the clinical trial also indicate that the patients on the Altoderm treatment started using corticosteroids less frequently, in favor of Altoderm. Thus, it is viewed that applicants have established sufficient evidence to show that the present formulation comprising amphoteric surfactants and ethoxylated cetyl alcohol in a specific amount provides unexpected results of improved delivery of the polar drugs, sodium cromoglycate and nedocromil sodium in the treatment of atopic dermatitis and/or eczema.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 571-272-8605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:30 AM until 6:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1617

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gina Yu Patent Examiner

> SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER